

## Table of Contents

<b>SESSION 1</b> <b><i>Digital Transformation in Indian Judicial System: Enhancing Efficiency, Access, and Usability</i></b>		
1.	<b><i>ICT Enablement of Judiciary in State of the Indian Judiciary</i></b> , Supreme Court of India, ) pp. 133-172, (2023)	01
2.	<b><i>Digital Courts: Vision &amp; Roadmap: e-Courts Project Phase III</i></b> , E-Committee, Supreme Court of India, 2022. Available at: <a href="https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s388ef51f0bf911e452e8dbb1d807a81ab/uploads/2023/04/2023042088">https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s388ef51f0bf911e452e8dbb1d807a81ab/uploads/2023/04/2023042088</a>	47
3.	<b><i>Various Initiatives of E-committee, Supreme Court of India: A Compilation</i></b>	192
4.	G. Mahibha and Dr. P. Balasubramanian, <b><i>A Critical Analysis of the Significance of the eCourts Information Systems in Indian Courts</i></b> , 20 (2020), pp. 47-53	204
5.	Jane Donoghue, <b><i>The Rise of Digital Justice: Courtroom Technology, Public Participation and Access to Justice</i></b> , The Modern Law Review, Vol. 80, No. 6 (NOVEMBER 2017), pp. 995-1025	211
<b><i>Judgments &amp; Orders</i></b> <i>(Judgments mentioned below include citations and short notes for reference only. Please refer full judgment available in Pen Drive for conclusive opinion)</i>		
6.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ <i>Sarvesh Mathur v. The Registrar General High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Writ Petition (Criminal) No (s). 351/2023</i></li> <li>✓ <i>Sarvesh Mathur v. Registrar General High Court of Punjab and Haryana, 2023 SCC OnLine SC 1293</i></li> <li>✓ <i>Pradyuman Bisht vs. Union of India and Others, 2023 SCC OnLine SC 983</i></li> <li>✓ <i>CCE &amp; Service Tax v. Bilfinder Neo Structo Contruction Ltd., (2024) 7 SCC 53</i></li> <li>✓ <i>Jitendra Kumar Rode v. Union of India, 2023 SCC OnLine SC 485</i></li> <li>✓ <i>XXXX v YYYY and Other, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1123</i></li> <li>✓ <i>In Re. Children in Street Situations, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 189</i></li> <li>✓ <i>In Re. Guidelines for Court Functioning through Videoconferencing During Covid-19 Pandemic, (2021) 5 SCC 454</i></li> <li>✓ <i>Arnab Manoranjan Goswami v. State of Maharashtra, (2021) 2 SCC 427</i></li> <li>✓ <i>In Re. Guidelines for Court Functioning through Videoconferencing during Covid-19 Pandemic, (2020) 6 SCC 686</i></li> <li>✓ <i>Swapnil Tripathi v. Supreme Court of India, (2018) 10 SCC 639</i></li> <li>✓ <i>Meters and Instruments (P) Ltd. v. Kanchan Mehta, (2018) 1 SCC 560</i></li> </ul>	

## Table of Contents

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ <i>Al Azhar Medical College &amp; Super Speciality Hospital v. Union of India</i>, (2018) 10 SCC 567</li> <li>✓ <i>Central Electricity Regulatory Commission v. National Hydroelectric Power Corpn. Ltd.</i>, (2010) 10 SCC 280</li> <li>✓ <i>State of Maharashtra v. Praful B. Desai (Dr)</i>, (2003) 4 SCC 601</li> <li>✓ <i>Grid Corpn. of Orissa Ltd. v. AES Corpn.</i>, (2002) 7 SCC 736</li> </ul>
	<b>Additional Readings (Suggestive)</b>
7.	<p>State of the Judiciary: <i>A Report on Infrastructure, Budgeting, Human Resources, and ICT</i>, Centre For Research &amp; Planning, Supreme Court of India, November, 2023.  <a href="https://main.sci.gov.in/pdf/CRP/15122023_082223.pdf">https://main.sci.gov.in/pdf/CRP/15122023_082223.pdf</a></p> <p><b>Rules –</b></p> <p><i>Rules for On-Line Electronic Filing (E-Filing) Framed under Article 225 and 227 of the Constitution of India</i>, ECommittee, Supreme Court of India  <a href="https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s388ef51f0bf911e452e8dbb1d807a81ab/uploads/2020/08/2020082629-1.pdf">https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s388ef51f0bf911e452e8dbb1d807a81ab/uploads/2020/08/2020082629-1.pdf</a></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Model Rules for Video Conferencing for Courts</i>, E-Committee, Supreme Court of India  <a href="https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s388ef51f0bf911e452e8dbb1d807a81ab/uploads/2020/08/2020082629.pdf">https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s388ef51f0bf911e452e8dbb1d807a81ab/uploads/2020/08/2020082629.pdf</a></li> <li><i>Model Rules for Live-Streaming and Recording of Court Proceedings</i>  <a href="https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s388ef51f0bf911e452e8dbb1d807a81ab/uploads/2021/06/2022091599.pdf">https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s388ef51f0bf911e452e8dbb1d807a81ab/uploads/2021/06/2022091599.pdf</a></li> </ol> <p><b>User Manuals –</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>User Manual – E-Filing Procedure - For High Courts &amp; District Courts in India</i>, Department of Justice and eCommittee, Supreme Court of India, 2018  <a href="https://ecourts.gov.in/ecourts_home/static/manuals/efiling-User-manual.pdf">https://ecourts.gov.in/ecourts_home/static/manuals/efiling-User-manual.pdf</a></li> <li><i>Electronic Processes (NSTEP)-Android OS APP</i>  <a href="https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s388ef51f0bf911e452e8dbb1d807a81ab/uploads/2020/08/2020082779.pdf">https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s388ef51f0bf911e452e8dbb1d807a81ab/uploads/2020/08/2020082779.pdf</a></li> <li><i>User Manual - e-Court Digital Payment</i>  <a href="https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s388ef51f0bf911e452e8dbb1d807a81ab/uploads/2020/08/2020082769.pdf">https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s388ef51f0bf911e452e8dbb1d807a81ab/uploads/2020/08/2020082769.pdf</a></li> </ol> <p><b>Standard Operating Procedures –</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Digital Preservation: Standard Operating Procedure (SOP): Digitization * Storage * Preservation * Search &amp; Retrieval</i>, E-Committee, Supreme Court of India  <a href="https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s388ef51f0bf911e452e8dbb1d807a81ab/uploads/2022/11/2022112949.pdf">https://cdnbbsr.s3waas.gov.in/s388ef51f0bf911e452e8dbb1d807a81ab/uploads/2022/11/2022112949.pdf</a></li> </ol>

## Table of Contents

SESSION 2		
<i>Challenges Posed by ICT and Digitization</i>		
1.	<i>Cyber Security Basics for Courts</i> , Prepared by JTC Resource Bulletin – Version 3.0, December, 2021	243
2.	<i>Brian J. McLaughlin, Cybersecurity: Protecting Court Data Assets, Trends in State Courts</i> , 67-72, 2018	289
3.	Dhiraj R. Duraiswami, <i>Privacy and Data Protection in India</i> , 6 Journal of Law and Cyber Warfare 166-186, 2017	290
4.	Herbert B. Dixon Jr. (Ret.), <i>The Court Has Been Hacked!</i> , The Judges' Journal, 80(4), Fall 2021	312
5.	Herbert B. Dixon Jr. (Ret.), <i>Response to “The Court Has Been Hacked!”</i> , The Judges' Journal, 61(1), Winter 2022	316
6.	Rishi Prakash, T. Mohanty, Ramji Gupta & Vinay Jain, <i>ICT in Indian Court: Challenges &amp; Solution</i> , International Journal of Internet Computing (IJIC), ISSN No: 2231 – 6965, Volume-1, Issue-2, 2011	319
7.	<i>PRS-Standing Committee Report Summary Functioning of Virtual Courts, chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://prsindia.org/files/policy/policy_committee_reports/Report_Summary_SC_Virtual%20Courts.pdf</i>	324
<i>Judgments &amp; Orders</i>		
<i>(Judgments mentioned below include citations and short notes for reference only. Please refer full judgment available in Pen Drive for conclusive opinion)</i>		
8.	<p><b><u>Privacy –</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Right to Privacy v. Right to Information &amp; Transparency in Judicial Records</u></b>  <b>Supreme Court:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ <i>Kaushal Kishore v. State of Uttar Pradesh</i>, (2023) 4 SCC 1</li> <li>✓ <i>XXXX v. YYYY</i>, (2022) SCC OnLine SC 1123</li> <li>✓ <i>Jigya Yadav v. CBSE</i>, (2021) 7 SCC 535</li> </ul> <p><b>High Courts:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ <i>Princess Anaryaa Milind Ramteke v. State of Maharashtra</i>, (2021) SCC OnLine Bom 10861</li> <li>✓ <i>Vijay Kumar v. CBSE</i>, (2023) SCC OnLine Del 703; and</li> <li>✓ <i>Tanishka Maheshwari v. CBSE</i>, (2023) SCC OnLine Del 711</li> <li>✓ <i>Regional Officer CBSE v. Sanifa Mustafa</i>, (2021) SCC OnLine Ker 6756</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Can a Court Order be removed from Online Platform on Account of Right to Privacy?</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ <i>Saanvi Singh v. State of Odisha</i>, 2023 SCC OnLine Ori 1191</li> </ul>	

## Table of Contents

<p>✓ <i>Jorawer Singh Mundy v. Union of India</i>, 2021 SCC OnLine Del 2306</p> <p>✓ <i>NT1 and NT2 v. Google LLC</i>, [2018] EWHC 799 (QB)</p> <p><b><i>Right to be Forgotten v. Right to Privacy –</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Supreme Court:</i></b></p> <p>✓ <i>K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India</i>, (2017) 10 SCC 1</p> <p>✓ <i>Gobind v. State of MP.</i>, (1975) 2 SCC 148</p> <p><b><i>High Court(s):</i></b></p> <p>✓ <i>Mahendra Kumar Jain v. State of W.B.</i>, (2022) SCC OnLine Cal 3060</p> <p>✓ <i>X v. Youtube</i>, 2021 SCC OnLine Del 4193</p> <p>✓ <i>Subhranshu Rout v. State of Odisha</i>, 2020 SCC OnLine Ori 878</p> <p>✓ <i>Zulfiqar Ahman Khan v. Quintillion Businessman Media</i>, 2019 SCC OnLine Del 8494</p> <p><b><i>Anonymity v. Privacy discerned –</i></b></p> <p>✓ <i>Indira Jaising v. Supreme Court of India</i>, (2018) SCC OnLine SC 1676</p> <p>✓ <i>K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India</i>, (2017) 10 SCC 1, para (312)</p> <p>✓ <i>Vysakh K.G. v. Union of India</i>, 2022 SCC OnLine Ker 7337, para 33.</p> <p><b><i>Privacy versus Open Court –</i></b></p> <p>✓ <i>Naresh Shridhar Mirajkar v. State of Maharashtra</i>, (1966) AIR SC 1</p> <p>✓ <i>Karthick Theodore v. RG Madras High Court</i>, W.A.(MD)No.1901 of 2021</p> <p><b><u><i>Infrastructural Security, Witness &amp; Victim Protection -</i></u></b></p> <p>✓ <i>Mahender Chawla and Ors. v. Union of India and ors.</i>, (2019) 14 SCC 615 - Witness Protection Scheme</p> <p>✓ <i>Zahira Habibullah Sheikh (5) v. State of Gujarat</i>, (2006) 3 SCC 374</p> <p>✓ <i>Witness Protection Scheme</i>, 2018</p> <p>✓ <i>Pradyuman Bisht v. Union of India</i>, (2018) 15 SCC 433</p> <p>✓ <i>Pradyuman Bisht v. Union of India</i>, 2023 SCC OnLine SC 983</p> <p>✓ <i>National Human Rights Commission v. State of Gujarat</i>, (2009) 6 SCC 767</p> <p>✓ <i>Safeguarding Courts &amp; Protecting Judges, In re</i>, 2021 SCC OnLine SC 3335</p> <p>✓ <i>Waheed-ur- Rehman v. Union Territory of J&amp;K</i>, 2022 SCC Online SC 237</p> <p>✓ <i>State of Maharashtra v. Bandu</i>, (2018) 11 SCC 16</p> <p>✓ <i>State of Maharashtra v. Dr. Praful B. Desai</i>, (2003) 4 SCC 601</p> <p>✓ <i>Sakshi v. Union of India</i>, (2004) 5 SCC 518</p> <p><b><u><i>Live Streaming: Potential Misinformation &amp; Disinformation –</i></u></b></p> <p><b><i>Media Guidelines in Sensitive Judicial Proceedings</i></b></p> <p>✓ <i>Sahara India Real Estate Corporation Ltd. v. SEBI</i>, (2012) 10 SCC 603</p>	
--	--

## Table of Contents

SESSION 3		
<i>AI and its Implications as Courtroom Technology</i>		
1.	Arghya Sengupta, Ameen Juahar, Vaidehi Misra, et.al, <b><i>Responsible AI for the India Justice System: A Strategy Paper</i></b> , Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy, in collaboration with TCG-Crest, April 2021	326
2.	Anuradha Gandhi & Isha Sharma, <b><i>Supreme Court's Guidance on Use of Generative AI Tools in Court Proceedings</i></b> , S.S. Rana & Co., October 15, 2024	357
3.	Vikrant Rana, Anuradha Gandhi & Isha Sharma, <b><i>Role of AI in Legal Systems: A Detailed Analysis</i></b> , S.S. Rana & Co., August 12, 2024	362
4.	Jesper Ryberg, <b><i>Sentencing, Artificial Intelligence, and Condemnation: A Reply to Taylor</i></b> , Criminal Justice Ethics, 43(2), 131–145, 2024	368
5.	Isaac Taylor, <b><i>Justice by Algorithm: The Limits of AI in Criminal Sentencing</i></b> , Criminal Justice Ethics, 42:3, 193-213, 2023	384
6.	Maura R. Grossman, Paul W. Grimm, Daniel G. Brown, and Molly (Yiming) Xu, <b><i>The GPT Judge: Justice in A Generative AI World</i></b> , Duke Law & Technology Review Vol. 23 (1), pp 1-34, 2023	406
<b><i>Judgments &amp; Orders</i></b>		
<i>(Judgments mentioned below include citations and short notes for reference only. Please refer full judgment available in Pen Drive for conclusive opinion)</i>		
7.	<b><u>Indian Courts on AI &amp; Technology</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ <i>Arijit Singh v. Codible Ventures LLP</i>, 2024 SCC OnLine Bom 2445</li> <li>✓ <i>Anil Kapoor v. Simply Life India</i>, 2023 SCC OnLine Del 6914</li> <li>✓ <i>Hewlett Packard India Sales Private Limited (Now HP India Sales Private Limited) v. Commissioner of Customs (Import), Nhava Sheva</i>, [(2023) 7 SCC 799]</li> <li>✓ <i>Sarvesh Mathur v. Registrar General High Court of Punjab and Haryana</i>, 2023 SCC OnLine SC 1293</li> <li>✓ <i>Jitendra Kumar Rode v. Union of India</i>, 2023 SCC OnLine SC 485</li> <li>✓ <i>XXXX v. YYYY and Others</i>, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1123,</li> <li>✓ <i>In Re: Children in Street Situations</i>, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 189</li> <li>✓ <i>In Re. Guidelines for Court Functioning Through Video Conferencing During Covid-19 Pandemic</i>, (2021) 5 SCC 454</li> <li>✓ <i>Arnab Manoranjan Goswami v. The State of Maharashtra</i>, (2021) 2 SCC 427</li> <li>✓ <i>Praveen Arimbrathodiyil v. Union of India</i>, WP (C) No. 9647 of 2021, order dated 9-4-2021 (Ker)(Pending)(Ker); <i>Foundation for Independent Indian Journalism v. Union of India</i>, WP (C) No. 3125 of 2021, order dated 28-6-2021 (Del)(Pending)(Del)</li> <li>✓ <i>Pradyuman Bisht v. Union of India</i>, (2018) 15 SCC 639</li> </ul>	

## Table of Contents

✓	<i>Swapnil Tripathi v. Supreme Court of India</i> , (2018) 10 SCC 639
✓	<i>Meters and Instruments (P) Ltd. v. Kanchan Mehta</i> , (2018) 1 SCC 560
✓	<i>Al Azhar Medical College &amp; Super Speciality Hospital v. Union of India</i> , (2018) 10 SCC 567
✓	<i>Tata Sky Limited v. National Internet Exchange of India</i> , 2019 SCC OnLine Del 7931
✓	<i>K. S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India</i> , (2019) 1 SCC 1 (Aadhaar)
✓	<i>Justice K. S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) v. Union of India</i> , (2017) 10 SCC 1
✓	<i>Shreya Singhal v. Union of India</i> , (2015) 5 SCC 1
✓	<i>Central Electricity Regulatory Commission v. National Hydroelectric Power Corpn. Ltd.</i> , (2010) 10 SCC 280
✓	<i>State of Maharashtra and P.C. Singh v. Praful B. Desai</i> , (2003) 4 SCC 601
✓	<i>Grid Corpn. of Orissa Ltd. v. AES Corpn.</i> , (2002) 7 SCC 736
	<b><u>Foreign Judgments:</u></b>
✓	<i>State of New Jersey v. M. A.</i> , 2020 WL 4280428 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. 2020) (Admissibility & Reliability as Evidence)
✓	<i>Baker v. CVS Health Corporation</i> , 1:23-cv-11483 (D.Mass. Filed June 30, 2023) (Privacy, Consent)
✓	<i>Doe v. EviCore Healthcare MSI, LLC</i> , No. 22-530-cv, 2023 U.S. App. LEXIS 4794 (2d Cir. Feb. 28, 2023) (Reliability of AI as Evidence)
✓	<i>In re Celsius Network LLC</i> , 655 B.R. 301 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2023)(Admissibility & Reliability as Evidence)
✓	<i>Mata v. Avianca, Inc.</i> , No. 22-cv-1461 (PKC), 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 108263 (S.D.N.Y. June 22, 2023), (Reliability on AI for Evidence)
✓	<i>Authors Guild, et al. v. OpenAI Inc. et al.</i> , No. 1:23-cv-8292 (S.D.N.Y. Filed Sept. 19, 2023), (IPR - Copyright Infringement)
✓	<i>Basbanes et al. v. Microsoft Corp. et al.</i> , No. 1:24-cv-00084 (S.D.N.Y. Filed Jan. 5, 2024)(IPR - Copyright Infringement)
✓	<i>Huckabee et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al.</i> , No. 1:23-cv-09152 (S.D.N.Y. Filed Oct. 17, 2023) (IPR – Copyright Infringement)
✓	<i>Sancton v. OpenAI Inc. et al.</i> , No. 1:23-cv-10211 (S.D.N.Y. Filed Nov. 21, 2023). (IPR – Copyright Infringement)
✓	<i>The New York Times Co. v. Microsoft Corp. et al.</i> , No. 1:23-cv-11195 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 27, 2023), (IPR – Copyright Infringement)
✓	<i>Recentive Analytics, Inc. v. Fox Corp</i> , Civil Action No. 22-1545-GBW, 2023 U.S. Dist.
✓	<i>Commodity Futures Trading Comm’n v. Mirror Trading Int’l Proprietary Ltd</i> , No. 1:22-cv-635-LY, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 76759 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 24, 2023) (Regulating AI)
✓	<i>McComb v. Best Buy Inc.</i> , No. 3:23-cv-28, 2024 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8492, at *3 (S.D. Ohio Jan. 16, 2024), (Regulating AI)

## Table of Contents

✓	<i>Bond v. Clover Health Invs., Corp</i> , No. 3:21-cv-00096, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24749, at *9–10 (M.D. Tenn. Feb. 14, 2023)(Reliability on AI for Accuracy)
✓	<i>Ruggiero, Velardo, Burke, Reizen &amp; Fox, P.C. v. Lancaster</i> , No. 22-12010, 2023 U.S.
✓	<i>In re Upstart Holdings, Inc, Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 2:22-cv-02935, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 175451,
✓	<i>Concord Music Grp., Inc. el al.. v. Anthropic PBC</i> , No. 3:23-cv-01092 (M.D. Tenn. Filed Oct. 18, 2023) (IPR – Copyright Infringement),
✓	<i>Barrows et al. v. Humana, Inc</i> , No. 3:23-cv-00654 (W.D. Ky. Filed December 12, 2023), (Transparency & Accountability of AI),
✓	<i>Dinerstein v. Google, LLC</i> , 73 F.4th 502 (7th Cir. July 11, 2023)(Privacy, Consent)
✓	<i>Frier v. Hingiss</i> , No. 23-cv-0290-bhl, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 164077 (E.D. Wisc. Sept. 15, 2023) (Regulating AI)
✓	<i>Huskey v. State Farm Fire &amp; Cas. Co</i> , No. 22 C 7014, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 160629 (N.D. Ill. Sep. 11, 2023) (Bias)
✓	<i>Estate of Gene B. Lokken et al. v. UnitedHealth Group, Inc. et al.</i> (D.Minn. Filed November 11, 2023) (Transparency & Accountability of AI)
✓	<i>Andersen v. Stability AI Ltd</i> No. 23-cv-00201-WHO, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 194324 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2023) (IPR – Copyright Infringement)
✓	<i>Doe v. Github, Inc</i> , No. 22-cv-06823-JST, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 86983 (N.D. Cal. May 11, 2023) (IPR – Copyright Infringement)
✓	<i>Newman v. Google LLC</i> , No. CV 20-cv-04011-VC, 2022 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 238876 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 28, 2022)(Privacy, Consent)
✓	<i>Newman v. Google LLC</i> , No. CV 20-cv-04011-VC, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 144686 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 17, 2023)(Privacy, Consent)
✓	<i>Rivera v. Amazon Web Servs</i> , No. 2:22-cv-00269, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 129517 (W. D. Wash. Jul. 26, 2023) (Privacy, Consent)
✓	<i>Mobley v. Workday, Inc</i> , No. 23-cv-00770-RFL, 2024 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11573 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 19, 2024) (Transparency & Accountability of AI)
✓	<i>Jobiak, LLC v. Botmakers LLC</i> ,No. 2:23-cv-08604-DDP-MRW (N.D. Cal. Filed Oct. 12, 2023)(IPR – Copyright Infringement)
✓	<i>Kadrey et al., v. Meta Platforms, Inc</i> , No. 3:23-cv-03417 (N.D. Cal. Filed July 7, 2023) (IPR – Copyright – Infringement)
✓	<i>T. et al. v. OpenAI LP et al</i> No. 3:23-cv-04557-VC (N.D. Cal. Filed Sept. 5, 2023), (Privacy, Consent)
✓	<i>Tremblay, et al. v. OpenAI, Inc</i> , No. 3:23-cv-03223-AMO (N.D. Cal. Filed June 28, 2023) (IPR – Copyright – Infringement)

## Table of Contents

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ <i>Main Sequence, Ltd. v. Dudesy, LLC</i>, No. 2:24-cv-00711 (C.D. Cal. Filed January 25, 2024) (Deepfake &amp; Liability)</li> <li>✓ <i>Matsko v. Tesla</i>, No. 4:22-cv-05240 (N.D. Cal. Filed September 14, 2022), (Liability of AI)</li> <li>✓ <i>Faridian v. DoNotPay, Inc.</i> No. 3:23-cv-01692 (N.D. Cal. Filed March 3, 2023)(Liability of AI).</li> <li>✓ <i>Athos Overseas Ltd. Corp. v. Youtube, Inc.</i>, No. 21-21698-Civ, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 85462 (S.D. Fla. May 16, 2023), (Liability of AI)</li> <li>✓ <i>United States v. Grimes</i>, No. 1:20-CR-00427-SCJ, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 40282, at *1 (N.D. Ga. Mar. 10, 2023), (Criminal Liability)</li> </ul>	
<b>Additional Readings (Suggestive)</b>		
1.	<p><b>India Rules/Guidelines/Circulars –</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Niti Ayog, <b>Responsible AI- # AIforAll: Approach Document for India–Adopting the Framework: A Use Case Approach on Facial Recognition Technology</b>, Discussion Paper, November, 2022</li> <li>2. Niti Ayog, <b>Responsible AI- # AIforAll: Approach Document for India – Principles for Responsible AI</b>, February, 2021</li> <li>3. Niti Ayog, <b>National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence: # AIforAll</b>, June 2018</li> </ol> <p><b>Foreign Rules/Guidelines/Circulars –</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Registrar’s Circular No. 1 of 2024 <b>Guide On The Use Of Generative Artificial Intelligence Tools By Court Users.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>Articles –</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Jeff Ward, <b>10 Things Judges Should Know about AI</b>, 103 Judicature 12 (2019)</li> <li>2. <b>Emerging Technologies and Judicial Integrity - Toolkit for Judges</b>, United Nations Development Programme (2021).</li> <li>3. A. D. Reiling, <b>Courts and Artificial Intelligence</b> , 11(2) International Journal for Court Administration 8 (2020)</li> <li>4. Uday Shankar and Shubham Pandey, <b>Balancing the Scales of Justice through Artificial Intelligence</b>, 63 JILI (2021) 190</li> </ol>	